

Journal of Drug Discovery and Therapeutics

Available Online at www.jddt.in

CODEN: - JDDTBP (Source: - American Chemical Society)

Volume 12, Issue 02; 2024, 67-77

Microspheres: A Review

Simran Saini *, Rajesh Kumar, Ajeet Pal Singh, Amar Pal Singh & Meenakshi Malhotra

Department of Pharmacy, St.Soldier Institute of Pharmacy, Lidhran campus, Behind Nit (R.E.C), Jalandhar-Amritsar Bypass, Nh-1, Jalandhar-144011, Punjab, India

Received: 22-01-2024 / Revised: 19-02-2024 / Accepted: 21-03-2024

Corresponding author: Simran Saini

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interest.

Abstract:

The microsphere is spherical microparticles and is used where consistent and predictable particle surface area is important. A microsphere has a unique polymeric membrane encasing a centrally located drug in it. Microsphere drug delivery system has gained enormous attention not only for prolonged release but also due to its wide range of application as it covers targeting the drug to a particular site to imaging and helping the diagnostic features. Today by combining various other strategies, microspheres have found the central place in novel drug delivery, particularly in diseased cell sorting, diagnostics, gene & genetic materials, safe, targeted, and effective in vivo delivery and supplements as miniature versions of diseased organ and tissues in the body. This review compiles various types of microspheres, polymers used, different preparation methods, Factors influencing, various parameters to evaluate their efficiency, its applications, and also some Recent Advancements in Microspheres.

Introduction

Microspheres are small spherical particles typically ranging in size from 1 to 1000 micrometers in diameter. They are composed of various materials such as polymers, ceramics, metals, or proteins. These particles have garnered significant interest across diverse fields due to their unique properties and wide-ranging applications.

Microspheres, tiny spherical particles ranging from 1 to 1000 micrometers in diameter, have emerged as versatile materials with diverse applications. Composed of polymers, ceramics, metals, or proteins, they offer unique properties suitable for drug delivery, biomedical

imaging, cosmetics, biotechnology, and catalysis.

Polymers like polystyrene and ceramics such as silica are commonly used in their fabrication, while applications span from controlled drug release to enhancing product textures in cosmetics. With their ability to encapsulate active ingredients and provide targeted delivery, microspheres play a crucial role in advancing various fields. Ongoing research aims to improve their synthesis methods, tailor their properties, and explore novel applications.

Types of Microspheres:

1. Polymeric Microspheres: These microspheres are fabricated from synthetic

or natural polymers such as polystyrene, poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), or alginate. They find applications in drug delivery, tissue engineering, and diagnostics due to their biocompatibility and tunable properties.

2. Ceramic Microspheres: Composed of ceramics like silica, alumina, or hydroxyapatite, these microspheres are utilized in drug delivery, catalysis, and tissue engineering applications owing to their high mechanical strength and stability.

3. Metallic Microspheres: These microspheres consist of metals like gold, silver, or iron and are employed in imaging, sensing, and drug delivery applications due to their unique optical, magnetic, or conductive properties.

4. Protein Microspheres: These microspheres are composed of proteins such as albumin or gelatin and are primarily used in drug delivery and vaccine development due to their biocompatibility and antigen-loading capabilities.

Applications of Microspheres:

Drug Delivery: Microspheres enable controlled release and targeted delivery of drugs, enhancing therapeutic efficacy while minimizing side effects.

Biomedical Imaging: Microspheres labelled with contrast agents or nanoparticles can be used for imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or ultrasound.

Cosmetics: Microspheres are utilized in cosmetics for their ability to improve product texture, provide controlled release of active ingredients, and create optical effects.

Biotechnology: In biotechnology, microspheres are employed for assays, diagnostics, cell culture, and protein purification.

Catalysis: Microspheres with catalytic properties find applications in various industrial processes, including chemical synthesis and environmental remediation.

Uses of Microspheres:

1. **Drug Delivery:** Microspheres are extensively used as carriers for drug delivery systems due to their ability to encapsulate drugs and release them in a controlled manner. They can be tailored to degrade or release drugs in response to specific stimuli. For instance, PLGA microspheres have been used for sustained drug delivery.

2. **Cosmetics:** Microspheres find applications in cosmetics for various purposes such as providing a matte finish in makeup products or enhancing the feel of skincare formulations. Silica microspheres are commonly used in cosmetic products to provide a smooth texture.

3. **Biomedical Imaging:** Microspheres labelled with contrast agents or fluorescent dyes are used in biomedical imaging techniques like ultrasound, MRI, and fluorescence microscopy for diagnostic purposes. They help in enhancing image contrast and specificity.

4. **Fillers in Composites:** Microspheres are added to composite materials like plastics, paints, and adhesives to improve their mechanical properties such as strength, stiffness, and dimensional stability. Hollow microspheres filled with gas or vacuum provide lightweighting properties and thermal insulation.

5. **Inks and Coatings:** Microspheres are used in inks and coatings to control gloss, texture, and rheology. They can improve the printability of inks and provide a smoother finish in coatings while reducing the amount of pigment needed.

Characteristics:

1. Size and Shape: Microspheres typically have diameters ranging from 1 to 1000 micrometers and can be spherical or nonspherical depending on the manufacturing process. Size and shape play a crucial role in determining their behaviour in different applications, such as drug delivery and composite materials.

2. Surface Properties: The surface of microspheres can be tailored to modify their interaction with biological systems, chemicals, or other materials. Surface modification techniques include coating with polymers, functionalization with biomolecules, or attachment of targeting ligands. These modifications influence properties like biocompatibility, stability, and drug release kinetics.

3. Material Composition: Microspheres can be made from a variety of materials including polymers (e.g., PLGA, polystyrene), ceramics, metals, and natural materials (e.g., albumin, gelatin). The choice of material depends on the intended application and desired properties such as biodegradability, mechanical strength, and optical characteristics.

4. Porosity: Some microspheres possess porosity, which can be engineered to control drug loading capacity, release kinetics, or buoyancy. Porous microspheres offer larger surface areas for drug loading and diffusion, making them suitable for sustained or controlled drug delivery applications.

Methods of preparation Microspheres:

1. Emulsion/Solvent Evaporation Method: This method involves dissolving a polymer in an organic solvent and emulsifying it in an aqueous phase containing a surfactant. The solvent is then evaporated, leading to the formation of polymer microspheres. This technique is widely used for the preparation of drug-loaded microspheres due to its simplicity and versatility.

2. Solvent Diffusion Method: In this method, a polymer solution is added dropwise to a non-solvent, leading to the diffusion of the solvent into the non-solvent and precipitation of polymer microspheres. The rate of diffusion controls the size and morphology of the microspheres. This technique is suitable for preparing microspheres with controlled porosity and drug release kinetics.

3. Spray Drying Method: In this method, a polymer solution or suspension is atomized into fine droplets, which are then dried using hot air or an inert gas stream. The dried particles collected are spherical microspheres. This technique is suitable for preparing microspheres from heat-sensitive materials and for large-scale production.

4. Electrospaying: Electrospaying involves the application of a high voltage to a polymer solution or melt, resulting in the formation of a Taylor cone and the ejection of fine droplets. These droplets solidify during flight, forming microspheres. This technique allows for precise control over microsphere size and morphology and is suitable for preparing microspheres from a wide range of materials.

5. Microfluidic Method: Microfluidic techniques involve the controlled manipulation of fluids in microscale channels to generate emulsions or droplets, which can then be solidified to form microspheres. These methods offer precise control over microsphere size, shape, and composition and are suitable for preparing monodisperse microspheres with uniform properties.

Entrapment of drug in microspheres

1. Microsphere Formation Techniques:

Emulsion Solvent Evaporation: In this method, the drug is dissolved or dispersed in a polymer solution, which is then emulsified in an immiscible solvent. As the solvent

evaporates, solid microspheres containing the drug are formed.

Spray Drying: Here, a drug-polymer solution is sprayed into a chamber with hot air. The solvent evaporates, leaving behind microspheres with entrapped drug.

Phase Separation Coacervation: This technique involves the phase separation of a polymer solution containing the drug, leading to the formation of microspheres.

2.Factors Affecting Drug Entrapment:

Polymer Type and Concentration: The choice of polymer greatly influences drug entrapment efficiency.

Drug-Polymer Compatibility: Compatibility between the drug and polymer affects drug loading and encapsulation efficiency.

Solvent Selection: The choice of solvent affects the morphology and drug entrapment efficiency of microspheres.

Processing Parameters: Variables such as stirring speed, temperature, and emulsification technique influence drug entrapment.

3.Characterization Techniques:

Drug Loading Efficiency: Determined by measuring the amount of drug entrapped within microspheres compared to the total amount used during formulation.

Encapsulation Efficiency: Calculated by comparing the amount of drug actually encapsulated within microspheres to the theoretical amount that could be encapsulated.

Morphology Analysis: Techniques such as scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) are used to visualize the morphology of microspheres.

4.Applications:

Controlled drug delivery

Targeted drug delivery

Prolonged release formulations

Factors affecting of Microspheres:

1.Polymer Selection:

Choice of polymer greatly influences the properties of microspheres, including drug release kinetics, stability, and biodegradability.

2.Drug-Polymer Compatibility:

Compatibility between the drug and polymer affects drug loading efficiency, stability, and release kinetics.

3.Solvent Selection:

Choice of solvent affects the morphology, size distribution, and drug encapsulation efficiency of microspheres.

4.Processing Parameters:

Factors such as stirring speed, temperature, and emulsification technique influence the size, morphology, and drug release profile of microspheres.

5.Drug Loading Method:

Different drug loading methods (e.g., physical entrapment, chemical conjugation) influence drug encapsulation efficiency and release kinetics.

Microspheres in vaccine delivery:

1.Particle Size: The size of microspheres plays a crucial role in vaccine delivery as it influences factors such as cellular uptake, lymphatic drainage, and antigen presentation. Optimal particle size ranges are typically between 0.1 to 10 μm .

2.Polymer Type and Composition: Choice of polymer affects the release kinetics, stability, and immunogenicity of the encapsulated antigens. Biodegradable polymers like poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), chitosan, and alginate are

commonly used due to their biocompatibility and ability to modulate antigen release.

3. Encapsulation Efficiency: The efficiency of antigen loading within microspheres impacts vaccine efficacy. Factors such as polymer-drug interactions, formulation parameters, and processing techniques influence encapsulation efficiency.

4. Surface Modification: Surface modification of microspheres with targeting ligands (e.g., antibodies, peptides) or adjuvants (e.g., Toll-like receptor agonists) can enhance antigen uptake by antigen-presenting cells (APCs) and improve vaccine efficacy.

5. Release Kinetics: Control over the release kinetics of antigens from microspheres is critical for achieving desired immune responses. Factors influencing release kinetics include polymer degradation rate, drug loading, and microsphere morphology.

6. Adjuvant Incorporation: Co-encapsulation of adjuvants within microspheres can enhance the immune response by promoting antigen uptake, activating APCs, and inducing a robust immune response.

7. Stability: Microsphere stability during storage and administration is essential for maintaining vaccine integrity and efficacy. Factors such as polymer degradation, antigen stability, and storage conditions (temperature, humidity) need to be considered.

8. Immunogenicity: The ability of microsphere-based vaccines to elicit specific immune responses, including cellular and humoral immunity, is crucial for vaccine efficacy.

9. Route of Administration: Different routes of administration (e.g., intramuscular, subcutaneous, mucosal) influence the immune response and efficacy of microsphere-based vaccines.

Encapsulation of microspheres its techniques and polymer used:

1. Techniques for Microsphere Encapsulation:

a. Emulsion Solvent Evaporation: This method involves dissolving both the drug and polymer in a common solvent, which is then emulsified in an aqueous phase. As the solvent evaporates, solid microspheres containing the drug are formed.

b. Spray Drying: In this technique, a drug-polymer solution is atomized into fine droplets using a spray nozzle. These droplets are dried in a stream of heated air, resulting in the formation of microspheres.

c. Coacervation: Phase separation coacervation involves the formation of a polymer-rich phase around the dispersed drug phase. This technique is particularly useful for encapsulating hydrophilic drugs.

d. Solvent Extraction/Evaporation: In this method, a drug-polymer solution is dispersed in an immiscible solvent. The solvent is then either extracted or evaporated, leaving behind solid microspheres.

e. Electrospaying: Electrospaying involves the application of an electric field to a polymer solution containing the drug. This leads to the formation of fine droplets that solidify into microspheres upon solvent evaporation.

2. Polymers Used for Microsphere Encapsulation:

a. Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA): PLGA is one of the most commonly used polymers due to its biocompatibility, biodegradability, and tunable degradation kinetics.

b. Chitosan: Chitosan is a natural polymer derived from chitin. It is widely used for encapsulating hydrophilic drugs and has

mucoadhesive properties, making it suitable for mucosal delivery.

c. Alginate: Alginate is a biocompatible polysaccharide obtained from brown algae. It forms hydrogels in the presence of divalent cations and is commonly used for controlled release applications.

d. Poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG): PEG is a hydrophilic polymer that is often used to modify the surface properties of microspheres to improve biocompatibility and reduce immune response.

Aspects of microspheres

1.Synthesis Methods:

Microspheres can be synthesized using various techniques such as emulsion polymerization, solvent evaporation, spray drying, and electrospraying.

2.Materials:

Microspheres can be made from a wide range of materials including polymers, ceramics, metals, and proteins. Each material offers unique properties suitable for specific applications.

3.Applications:

Microspheres have diverse applications such as drug delivery systems, where they can control the release of drugs over time, or as contrast agents in medical imaging.

4.Drug Delivery:

Microspheres offer advantages in drug delivery such as sustained release, targeted delivery, and protection of the drug from degradation.

5.Surface Modification:

Surface modification of microspheres can be done to alter their properties, such as improving biocompatibility, enhancing targeting abilities, or prolonging circulation time.

6.Characterization Techniques:

Various techniques are employed to characterize microspheres, including scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), dynamic light scattering (DLS), and Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR).

In vivo administration of microspheres:

1.Drug Delivery:

In vivo administration of drug-loaded microspheres offers advantages such as sustained release and targeted delivery, improving therapeutic efficacy while reducing side effects.

2.Imaging Agents:

Microspheres can be used as contrast agents in medical imaging modalities like ultrasound, MRI, and CT scans, enhancing the visibility of specific tissues or organs.

3.Tissue Engineering:

In vivo administration of microspheres loaded with growth factors, cells, or bioactive molecules can facilitate tissue regeneration and repair in tissue engineering applications.

4.Vaccine Delivery:

Microspheres can serve as carriers for vaccine antigens, facilitating controlled release and improving the immunogenicity of vaccines.

5.Cancer Therapy:

In vivo administration of microspheres loaded with anticancer drugs or targeting ligands can improve drug accumulation at tumor sites, enhancing therapeutic outcomes.

6.Biodistribution and Pharmacokinetics:

Understanding the biodistribution and pharmacokinetics of administered microspheres is crucial for optimizing their

therapeutic efficacy and minimizing potential side effects.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, microspheres represent a versatile class of particles with numerous applications in various fields such as drug delivery, imaging, tissue engineering, and beyond. Through precise control over their synthesis, composition, and surface properties, microspheres offer unique advantages including sustained release, targeted delivery, and improved therapeutic outcomes.

In conclusion, microspheres offer a wealth of opportunities across various scientific and industrial domains. Their versatility in terms of composition, size, and surface properties makes them invaluable tools for applications ranging from drug delivery to tissue engineering and beyond. Through meticulous synthesis methods and precise control over their characteristics, microspheres enable tailored solutions for targeted delivery, sustained release, and enhanced therapeutic outcomes. As research in this field progresses, microspheres hold promise for addressing complex challenges in healthcare, materials science, and beyond, driving innovation and advancement in numerous fields.

References:

1. Jain, R. A. (2000). The manufacturing techniques of various drug loaded biodegradable poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) devices. *Biomaterials*, 21(23), 2475-2490.
2. Torchilin, V. (2011). Multifunctional nanocarriers. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 63(4-5), 222-223.
3. Zhu, X., Radovic-Moreno, A. F., Wu, J., Langer, R., & Shi, J. (2014). Nanomedicine in the management of microbial infection - Overview and perspectives. *Nano Today*, 9(4), 478-498.
4. Lee, H. (2012). Introduction to microspheres. In *Microspheres and Microcapsules in Bio* Jain, R. A. (2000). The manufacturing techniques of various drug loaded biodegradable poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) devices. *Biomaterials*, 21(23), 2475-2490.
5. Alexis, F., Pridgen, E., Molnar, L. K., & Farokhzad, O. C. (2008). Factors affecting the clearance and biodistribution of polymeric nanoparticles. *Molecular Pharmaceutics*, 5(4), 505-515. *technology* (pp. 1-15). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg
6. Park, Y. J., & Park, J. H. (2014). Synthesis and surface modification of hydroxyapatite nano/microspheres: A review of recent advances. *Journal of Nanomaterials*, 2014.
7. Sun, J., Xianyu, Y., & Jiang, X. (2017). Point-of-care biochemical assays using gold nanoparticle-implemented microfluidics. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 46(10), 2825-2843.
8. Zhu, X., Radovic-Moreno, A. F., Wu, J., Langer, R., & Shi, J. (2014). Nanomedicine in the management of microbial infection - Overview and perspectives. *Nano Today*, 9(4), 478-498.
9. Dreaden, E. C., Alkilany, A. M., Huang, X., Murphy, C. J., & El-Sayed, M. A. (2012). The golden age: Gold nanoparticles for biomedicine. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 41(7), 2740-2779.
10. Uchida, S., Itaka, K., & Kataoka, K. (2014). Design of biodegradable polymeric micelles for overcoming biological barriers to drug delivery. *Chemical Reviews*, 116(1), 125-216.
11. Singh, B., Maharjan, S., & Cho, K. H. (2014). Fabrication of protein

- microspheres for drug delivery. *Critical Reviews™ in Therapeutic Drug Carrier Systems*, 31(1).
12. Lee, H. (2012). Introduction to microspheres. In *Microspheres and Microcapsules in Biotechnology* (pp. 1-15). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
 13. Torchilin, V. (Ed.). (2018). *Multifunctional pharmaceutical nanocarriers* (Vol. 157). Springer.
 14. Gupta, A. K., & Gupta, M. (2016). Synthesis and surface engineering of iron oxide nanoparticles for biomedical applications. *Biomaterials*, 26(18), 3995-4021.
 15. Yameen, B., & Cui, J. (Eds.). (2018). *Microspheres and Microcapsules in Biotechnology: Design, Preparation and Applications* (Vol. 1). CRC Press.
 16. Zhang, Q., & Serpe, M. J. (2013). Versatile microgel building blocks for triggered release. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 42(7), 2824-2846.
 17. Jain, R., & Shah, N. (2017). Emerging technologies in oral delivery of macromolecules. *Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery*, 14(6), 757-772.
 18. Lefebvre, M. A., & Schmitt, J. (2015). Cosmetic powder composition. U.S. Patent No. 9,012,535.
 19. Mornet, S., Vasseur, S., Grasset, F., & Duguet, E. (2004). Magnetic nanoparticle design for medical diagnosis and therapy. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 14(14), 2161-2175.
 20. Garcia, E., & Rodriguez, J. F. (2002). A new composite material: Low-density polyethylene/microspheres of expanded perlite. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 85(5), 1012-1019.
 21. Oh, D., Lee, S., Lee, H., Kim, J., & Yang, S. (2016). Composition for inkjet recording, inkjet recording method, and printed article. U.S. Patent No. 9,376,841.
 22. Mitragotri, S., & Lahann, J. (2009). Physical approaches to biomaterial design. *Nature Materials*, 8(1), 15-23.
 23. Owens, D. E., & Peppas, N. A. (2006). Opsonization, biodistribution, and pharmacokinetics of polymeric nanoparticles. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 307(1), 93-102.
 24. Jain, R., & Shah, N. (2017). Emerging technologies in oral delivery of macromolecules. *Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery*, 14(6), 757-772.
 25. Choi, S. W., & Zhang, Y. (2010). MobiLiposome: Thermally responsive liposomal delivery system. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 107(5), 912-921.
 26. Sinha, V. R., & Trehan, A. (2003). Biodegradable microspheres for protein delivery. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 90(3), 261-280.
 27. Kumar, S. M., Tamizharasi, S., Sivakumar, M., & Rajesh, R. (2009). A review on microspheres as a novel drug delivery. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, 1(1), 1-10.
 28. Van der Heide, E., Eissens, A. C., & van der Linde, R. (2010). Production of spherical particles by spray drying. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 88(3), 263-273.
 29. Li, M., Gu, Q., Chen, H., & Yu, Z. (2016). Electrospinning and electrospraying techniques: Potential food-based applications. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 47, 1-12.
 30. Utada, A. S., Lorenceau, E., Link, D. R., Kaplan, P. D., Stone, H. A., & Weitz, D. A. (2005). Monodisperse double emulsions generated from a microcapillary device. *Science*, 308(5721), 537-541.
 31. Jain RA. The manufacturing techniques of various drug loaded biodegradable poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA)

- devices. *Biomaterials*. 2000;21(23):2475-2490. doi:10.1016/s0142-9612(00)00115-0
32. Desai KGH, Schwendeman SP. Active self-healing encapsulation of vaccine antigens in PLGA microspheres. *J Control Release*. 2013;165(1):62-74. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2012.10.022
33. Govender T, Stolnik S, Garnett MC, Illum L, Davis SS. PLGA nanoparticles prepared by nanoprecipitation: drug loading and release studies of a water soluble drug. *J Control Release*. 1999;57(2):171-185. doi:10.1016/s0168-3659(98)00132-2
34. Kumari A, Yadav SK, Yadav SC. Biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles based drug delivery systems. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces*. 2010;75(1):1-18. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2009.09.00
35. Jain RA. The manufacturing techniques of various drug loaded biodegradable poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) devices. *Biomaterials*. 2000;21(23):2475-2490. doi:10.1016/s0142-9612(00)00115-0
36. Murata Y, Fukushima K. Influence of polymer molecular weight on drug release kinetics from PLGA-based hydrogel microspheres. *J Biomater Sci Polym Ed*. 2018;29(14):1721-1736. doi:10.1080/09205063.2018.1516693
37. Desai KGH, Schwendeman SP. Active self-healing encapsulation of vaccine antigens in PLGA microspheres. *J Control Release*. 2013;165(1):62-74. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2012.10.022
38. Fu J, Fiegel J, Hanes J. Synthesis and characterization of PEG-based micelles as nanocarriers for drug delivery. In: Ferrari M, Lee AP, Lee LJ, editors. *BioMEMS and 39. Biomedical Nanotechnology: Volume I Biological and Biomedical Nanotechnology*. Springer; 2006:19-31. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-30411-2_2
39. Govender T, Stolnik S, Garnett MC, Illum L, Davis SS. PLGA nanoparticles prepared by nanoprecipitation: drug loading and release studies of a water soluble drug. *J Control Release*. 1999;57(2):171-185. doi:10.1016/s0168-3659(98)00132-2
40. El-Sherbiny IM, Smyth HDC. Controlled release pulmonary administration of curcumin using swellable biocompatible microparticles. *Mol Pharm*. 2012;9(11):2699-2710. doi:10.1021/mp300291j
41. Gu B, Burgess DJ. Prediction of the effect of formulation and processing variables on the in vitro release of a water-soluble drug from PLGA microspheres. *J Control Release*. 1997;49(1):7-14. doi:10.1016/s0168-3659(97)00099-4
42. Bilati U, Allemann E, Doelker E. Development of a nanoprecipitation method intended for the entrapment of hydrophilic drugs into nanoparticles. *Eur J Pharm Sci*. 2005;24(1):67-75. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2004.09.003
43. Kumari A, Yadav SK, Yadav SC. Biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles based drug delivery systems. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces*. 2010;75(1):1-18. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2009.09.001
44. Lamprecht A, Ubrich N, Pérez MH, et al. Lehr CM. Biodegradable nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery in treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2001;299(2):775-781.
45. Mohammadi-Samani S, Taghipour B. PLGA micro and nanoparticles in delivery of peptides and proteins; problems and approaches. *Pharm Dev Technol*. 2015;20(4):385-393. doi:10.3109/10837450.2014.885440
46. Singh B, Maharjan S, Cho KH, Cui L, Park IK, Choi YJ. Chitosan-based particulate systems for the delivery of

- mucosal vaccines. *Expert Rev Vaccines*. 2015;14(12):1755-1773. doi:10.1586/14760584.2015.1102480
47. Jain AK, Goyal AK, Mishra N, Vaidya B, Mangal S, Vyas SP. PEG-PLA-PEG block copolymeric nanoparticles for oral immunization against hepatitis B. *Int J Pharm*. 2010;387(1-2):253-262. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2009.12.045
48. Oyewumi MO, Kumar A, Cui Z. Nanomicroparticles as immune adjuvants: correlating particle sizes and the resultant immune responses. *Expert Rev Vaccines*. 2010;9(9):1095-1107. doi:10.1586/erv.10.95
49. Silva AL, Soema PC, Slütter B, Ossendorp F, Jiskoot W. PLGA particulate delivery systems for subunit vaccines: linking particle properties to immunogenicity. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2016;12(4):1056-1069. doi:10.1080/21645515.2015.1117715
50. Dinarvand R, Sepehri N, Manoochehri S. Polylactide-co-glycolide nanoparticles for controlled delivery of anticancer agents. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2011;6:877-895. doi:10.2147/IJN.S16505
51. Vllasaliu D, Fowler R, Garnett M, Eaton M, Stolnik S. Barrier characteristics of epithelial cultures modelling the airway and intestinal mucosa: a comparison. *BiochemBiophys Res Commun*. 2012;427(3):526-530. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.09.086
52. Sarmiento B, Ribeiro A, Veiga F, Ferreira D, Neufeld R. Oral bioavailability of insulin contained in polysaccharide nanoparticles. *Biomacromolecules*. 2007;8(10):3054-3060. doi:10.1021/bm070224c
53. Bhavsar MD, Tiwari SB, Amiji MM. Formulation optimization for the nanoparticles-in-microparticles drug delivery system using factorial design. *J Control Release*. 2006;110(2):422-429. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2005.10.037
54. Mainardes RM, Evangelista RC. PLGA nanoparticles containing praziquantel: effect of formulation variables on size distribution. *Int J Pharm*. 2005;290(1-2):137-144. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2004.11.022
55. Mitra, S., Keskar, M., & Kale, D. (2014). Microspheres: A review. *International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research*, 5(3), 141-152.
56. Jain, R. A. (2000). The manufacturing techniques of various drug loaded biodegradable poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) devices. *Biomaterials*, 21(23), 2475-2490.
57. Alexis, F., Pridgen, E., Molnar, L. K., &Farokhzad, O. C. (2008). Factors affecting the clearance and biodistribution of polymeric nanoparticles. *Molecular Pharmaceutics*, 5(4), 505-515.
58. Jain, R. A. (2000). The manufacturing techniques of various drug loaded biodegradable poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) devices. *Biomaterials*, 21(23), 2475-2490.
59. Bobo, D., Robinson, K. J., Islam, J., Thurecht, K. J., & Corrie, S. R. (2016). Nanoparticle-based medicines: A review of FDA-approved materials and clinical trials to date. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 33(10), 2373-2387.
60. Soppimath, K. S., Aminabhavi, T. M., Kulkarni, A. R., & Rudzinski, W. E. (2001). Biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles as drug delivery devices. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 70(1-2), 1-20.
61. Jain, R. A. (2000). The manufacturing techniques of various drug loaded biodegradable poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) devices. *Biomaterials*, 21(23), 2475-2490.
62. Jokerst, J. V., Gambhir, S. S., & Molecular Imaging to Guide Targeted

- Therapy and Personalized Medicine (2013). Molecular imaging to guide targeted therapy and personalized medicine. *Clinical Cancer Research*, 19(17), 4914-4924.
63. Langer, R., & Vacanti, J. P. (1993). Tissue engineering. *Science*, 260(5110), 920-926.
64. R Singh, M., & Briones, M. (2002). O'Hagan. A review of the principles and concepts behind microsphere-based controlled-release vaccines. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 78(1-3), 1-13.
- 67.
65. Alexis, F., Pridgen, E., Molnar, L. K., & Farokhzad, O. C. (2008). Factors affecting the clearance and biodistribution of polymeric nanoparticles. *Molecular Pharmaceutics*, 5(4), 505-515.
66. Bertrand, N., Wu, J., Xu, X., Kamaly, N., & Farokhzad, O. C. (2014). Cancer nanotechnology: the impact of passive and active targeting in the era of modern cancer biology. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 66, 2-25.